## **Security models**

1st Semester 2014/2015

F.Autreau P.Lafourcade M. Gagné JL. Roch P. Lafourcade M. Gagné

# SET 1

## Date: 20.10.2014

## Exercise 1

Prove that under CDH assumption El-Gamal is OW-CPA.

## Exercise 2

Prove that if there is an adversary which can break DDH then there is an adversary which can break the IND-CPA security of El-Gamal.

## Exercise 3

Prove that under DDH assumption El-Gamal is IND-CPA.

## Exercise 4

Define the *n*-DDH problem as follows: on input  $(A = g^a, (B_1 = g^{b_1}, C_1 = g^{c_1}), \dots, (B_n = g^{b_n}, C_n = g^{c_n}))$ , determine if for all *i*,  $c_i = ab_i$  or if for all *i*,  $c_i$  is randomly distributed.

Show that the *n*-DDH problem is intractable if and only if the DDH problem is intractable.

## Exercise 5

Show that the straightforward application of the RSA function is not an IND-CPA encryption scheme. That is, the encryption function  $E_{(n,e)}(m) = m^e \pmod{n}$  is not an IND-CPA encryption scheme.

#### Exercise 6

We define the *n*-IND-CPA game as follows: Given an encryption scheme  $\mathbf{S} = (\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{D})$ , an *n*-IND-CPA adversary is a tuple  $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{n+1})$  of probabilistic polynomial-time algorithms. For  $b \in \{0, 1\}$ , define the following game.  $n - \mathbf{IND}^b - CPA$ :

- Generate  $(pk, sk) \leftarrow \mathbf{K}(\eta)$
- $(s_1, m_{1,0}, m_{1,1}) \leftarrow \mathcal{A}_1(\eta, pk)$
- $(s_2, m_{2,0}, m_{2,1}) \leftarrow \mathcal{A}_1(\eta, pk, s_1, \mathbf{E}(pk, m_{1,b}))$
- ...
- $b' \leftarrow \mathcal{A}_{n+1}(\eta, pk, s_n, \mathbf{E}(pk, m_{n,b}))$
- return b'

Define  $Adv_{\mathbf{S},\mathcal{A}}^{n-\text{IND-CPA}} = \Pr[b' \leftarrow \text{n-IND}^1\text{-CPA} : b' = 1] - \Pr[b' \leftarrow \text{n-IND}^0\text{-CPA} : b' = 1].$ Show that an encryption scheme is *n*-IND-CPA secure if and only if it is IND-CPA secure.

### Exercise 7 (Midterm 2008)

- 1. Give the definition of NM-CPA NM-CCA1 and NM-CCA2.
- 2. Justify informally the implication relations between these three notions.

## Exercise 8 (Midterm 2008)

We propose a modified version of El-Gamal encryption scheme. Consider the following scheme, where  $g_1$ ,  $g_2$  are two randomly-chosen generators in G a cyclic group:

KeyGen $(1^k)$ :  $x, y \leftarrow Z_q;$   $h = g_1^x g_2^y;$   $PK = \langle g_1, g_2, h \rangle;$   $SK = \langle x, y \rangle;$ output (PK, SK);

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}(PK,m):\\ r \leftarrow Z_q;\\ \text{output} < g_1^r, g_2^r, h^r * m >; \end{split}$$

 $\mathbf{D}(SK, u, v, e):$ output  $\frac{e}{u^x v^y};$ 

- 1. Correctness: Assuming an honest execution of the protocol, prove that  $\frac{e}{u^x v^y} = m$
- 2. Prove that the modified scheme is semantically (IND-CPA) secure under the DDH assumption (Only the reduction as in exercises session).

Recall: DDH is given  $(g, g^u, g^v, \alpha)$  guess whether  $\alpha$  is  $g^{uv}$  or  $g^r$  where r is a random value.

Hint: one can take  $g_1 = g$  and  $g_2 = g^u$ .

#### Exercise 9 (Midterm 2010)

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an NM-CCA2 secure encryption scheme. We modify this scheme into  $\mathcal{E}'(m) = \mathcal{E}(m)||h(m)$ , where h is a public hash function. This should help the user to detect some errors in the transmission of the messages.

- Give the definition of NM-CCA2
- Prove that the new scheme  $\mathcal{E}'$  is not IND-CPA. It means give an attack against IND-CPA for  $\mathcal{E}'$ .

## Exercise 10 (Final 2009)

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an IND-CCA2 secure encryption scheme. We modify this scheme into  $\mathcal{E}'(m) = \mathcal{E}(m)||h(m)$ , where h is an hash function. This should help the user to detect some errors in the transmission of the messages. Prove that the new scheme  $\mathcal{E}'$  is not IND-CPA. It means give an attack against IND-CPA for  $\mathcal{E}'$ .

#### Exercise 11 (Final 2009)

Zheng & Seberry in 1993 proposed the following encryption scheme:

$$f(r)||(G(r) \oplus (x||H(x)))$$

where x is the plain text, f is a one way trap-door function (like RSA), G and H are two public hash functions, || denotes the concatenation of bitstrings and  $\oplus$  is the exclusive-or operator.

- Give the associated decryption algorithm.
- Give an IND-CCA2 attack against this scheme.

Hint: you cannot ask the cipher of  $m_b$  to the decryption oracle, but a cipher of  $m_{\overline{b}}$  is not forbidden...

## Exercise 12 (Final 2010)

Consider a naïve modified version of RSA with public parameter (e, n) defined by : E(x) = (v, w) where k is a random number  $v = k^e \mod n$  and w = x \* k.

- 1. Show that you can extract  $x^e \mod n$  from e and the encryption (v, w) of an unkown message x.
- 2. Find an IND-CPA attack against this encryption scheme.

#### Exercise 13 (Final 2010)

We consider random version of RSA proposed by David Pointcheval. Encryption of the message m is  $D - RSA_{(n,e)}(m) = (a,b)$  where  $a = k^e \mod n$ ,  $b = (k+1)^e \times m \mod n$  and k is a random number.

- Give the decryption algorithm
- We define the Computational D-RSA problem (CD-RSA) by : Given (n, e), and  $a^e \mod n$ Find  $(a + 1)^e \mod n$

Prove that under CD-RSA assumption then D-RSA is OW-CPA.

• We define the Decisional D-RSA problem (DD-RSA) by Given  $(n, e), r^e \mod n$  and  $s^e \mod n$ 

Decide if  $s = r + 1 \mod n$ 

Prove that under DD-RSA assumption then D-RSA is IND-CPA.

### Exercise 14

Find an attack on CBC encryption with counter IV, (proving that this encryption mode is not IND-CPA secure). In this scheme the frist IV used is 0 and for generating the next IV we just increase by one the value of the previous IV.

Exercise 15

Prove that CTR is not IND-CCA2 secure.

**Exercise 16** Prove that CFB is not IND-CCA2 secure.

## Exercise 17

Prove that OFB is not IND-CCA2 secure.

## Exercise 18

Prove that CBC with random IV is not IND-CCA2 secure. This time IV is a random number. But notice that this mode is IND-CPA secure.

## Exercise 19

Suppose that  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are symmetric encryption schemes on strings of arbitrary length. Show that the encryption scheme defined by  $E'((k_1, k_2), m) = E_2(k_2, E_1(k_1, m))$  (for randomly sampled keys  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ ) is IND-CPA secure if either  $E_1$  or  $E_2$  is IND-CPA secure.

## Exercise 20 (Final 2012, Security Proof (15 points))

- (3 points) Give the definition of the notion of OW-CPA security in the form of a security game.
- (12 points) We remind the definition of a one-way function: a function f is a one-way function if, for a randomly chosen x in the domain of f, no polynomial-time algorithm can compute x when given only the description of f and f(x).

We define the encryption algorithm E, which has a one-way function as its public key, as follows:

- sample a random x in the domain of f.

- output 
$$\langle f(x), x \oplus m \rangle$$

Prove that this is a OW-CPA secure encryption scheme if f is a one-way function.

## Exercise 21

Let BadMac, be the message authentication code defined as follows:

BadMac(
$$(k_1, k_2), m_1 | \dots | m_n$$
)  
 $c_0 = 1;$   
for  $i = 1$  to  $n$ , do:  
 $z_i = c_{i-1} \cdot m_i \pmod{2}^{128};$   
 $c_i = z_i + k_1 \pmod{2}^{128};$   
 $out = \mathcal{E}_{k_2}(c_n);$   
Output out:

Show that BadMac is not a secure message authentication code.

#### Exercise 22 (Midterm 2011, 8 points)

- (3 points) Give the definition of the notion of IND-CCA2 in the form of a security game.
- (5 points) We say that a public key encryption scheme E is additively homomorphic if for any key k and any two messages  $m_0, m_1, E_k(m_0) \cdot E_k(m_1) = E(m_0 + m_1)$ . Show that an additively homomorphic encryption scheme cannot be IND-CCA2 secure.

#### Exercise 23 (Midterm 2011, 10 points)

Suppose that E is a IND-CPA secure public key encryption scheme on strings of arbitrary length. Show that the encryption scheme defined by E'(pk,m) = E(pk, E(pk,m)) for any message m is also IND-CPA secure.

#### Exercise 24 (Midterm 2011, 12 points)

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a (secret key) block cipher, and CBC-MAC be the message authentication code defined as follows:

CBC-MAC
$$(k, m_1 | \dots | m_n)$$
  
 $c_1 = \mathcal{E}_k(m_1);$   
for  $i = 2$  to  $n$ , do:  
 $c_i = \mathcal{E}_k(c_{i-1} \oplus m_i);$   
Output  $c_n;$ 

Show that CBC-MAC is not a secure message authentication code by finding a collision in the MAC. The attacking adversary can query an oracle that will compute the MAC of any message, but cannot compute the block cipher  $\mathcal{E}_k$  on his own.

## Exercise 25 (Midterm 2008)

Prove that any deterministic symmetric encryption scheme is IND-CPA insecure.

## Exercise 26 (Final 2008)

Let  $E : \{0,1\}^k \times \{0,1\}^l \to \{0,1\}^l$  be a secure block cipher. Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the key-generation algorithm that returns a random k-bit string as the key K. Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the following encryption algorithm:

Algorithm  $\mathcal{E}_{K}(M)$ If |M| is not a positive multiple of l then return FALSE Divide M into l bit blocks,  $M = M[1] \dots M[n]$   $P[0] \leftarrow^{R} \{0, 1\}^{l}; C[0] \leftarrow E_{K}(P[0])$  For  $i = 1, \dots, n$  do  $P[i] \leftarrow P[i-1] \oplus M[i];$   $C[i] \leftarrow E_{K}(P[i]);$ EndFor  $C \leftarrow C[0]C[1] \dots C[n];$ Return C

- 1. Specify a decryption algorithm  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $\mathcal{SE} = (\mathcal{K}; \mathcal{E}; \mathcal{D})$  is a symmetric encryption scheme with correct decryption. We are denoting the inverse of  $E_K$  by  $E_K^{-1}$
- 2. Show that this scheme is insecure by presenting a practical adversary IND-CPA. Say what is the advantage achieved by your adversary.

## Exercise 27 (Midterm 2010)

Let (E, D) be a block cipher using a symmetric encryption  $E_k$  with a symmetric key k. Let  $m_1, m_2, ..., m_t$  be a sequence of t plaintext blocks. We consider the following block cipher mode which produce t + 2 ciphertext blocks  $c_0, c_1, c_2, ..., c_t, c_{t+1}$  which satisfies the equation, for i = 1, ..., t.

$$c_i = E_k(c_{i-1} \oplus m_i \oplus c_{i+1})$$

- 1. Describe how to reconstruct  $m_1, ..., m_t$  given  $c_0, ..., c_{t+1}$ .
- 2. Find a way to compute effectively this encryption mode knowing that in order to get started, we set  $c_0$  and  $c_1$  to some fixed initialization vectors.

3. Assuming that decrypting or encrypting twice a message gives the message again  $(D_k(D_k(x)) = x, E_k(E_k(x)) = x)$  and that an intruder can fix  $c_0$  and  $c_1$  then find an IND-CPA attack against this scheme.

#### Exercise 28 (Final 2012, IND-XXX Attack (21 points) ONLY M2R)

We consider the following encryption function that uses the RSA function with public key (N, e) and secret key d, and a public hash function G:

- sample a random  $x \in \{0, \ldots, N-1\}$
- output  $\mathcal{E}_{(N,e)}(m) = \langle RSA_{(N,e)}(x), G(x) \oplus m \rangle$
- 1. (3 points) Recall the definition of IND-CCA2 in the form of a security game.
- 2. (3 points) Give the decryption function corresponding to the encryption function above.
- 3. (5 points) Show that this scheme is not IND-CCA2 secure by giving an adversary that breaks the IND-CCA2 security of the scheme.

Consider now the following modification to to the encryption function above, which uses one more hash function (H):

- sample a random  $x \in \{0, \ldots, N-1\}$
- output  $\mathcal{E}'_{(N,e)}(m) = \langle RSA_{(N,e)}(x), \ G(x) \oplus m, \ H(m) \oplus x \rangle$

in which decryption of  $C = \langle a, b, c \rangle$  proceeds as for the preceeding scheme, except that after the message *m* is computed, the decryption algorithm also verifies that  $c = RSA_d^{-1}(a) \oplus H(m)$ ; if it is, it outputs *m*, otherwise it outputs  $\perp$ .

4. (10 points) Show that this new scheme is not even IND-CPA by giving an adversary that breaks the IND-CPA security of the scheme.